

Response from Jack McClintock:

Hello, I was not able to auto-fill the questionnaire, however here are my responses to numbers 1 through 7.

1 - Yes

2 - Yes. The Green Shores approach should be considered first. All circumstances have to be considered by the district when presented by the applicant that may affect the direction of erosion prevention.

3 - Not a definite Yes or No. M6 Non-Commercial Marine 2 allows for stairs or stair landings with a footprint. Any consideration for a property to move to M5 zoning would require a significant effort on behalf of the applicant to justify the change. Council would and should consider all proposals. I cannot say yes or no based on the minimum information provided in this question, sorry.

4 - Again perhaps, is the best answer. Since 2008 we have had extensive work done on Sea Level Rise. Like it or not the work was done and paid for and we have information not available in 2008.

5 - Yes. I agree that the waterfront owners are a part of the solution or at least ongoing management of waterfront protection.

6 - Do you mean that the draft bylaws should be tabled and the responsibility of drafting regulations should go to the Province or Federal Government? I would like to see all levels of government cooperating on this.

7 - Yes.

I hope I have been able to give you a glimpse of how I would listen to property owners while working to find reasonable solutions on matters involving our waterfront.

Thanks, Jack McClintock